## Remarks

Claims 1-17 were rejected due to the judicially created doctrine of double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S.P.N. 6,787,897.

Enclosed is a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). The rejection is believed to be overcome.

## Conclusion

If the Examiner has any further questions or would like to discuss this application in more detail, he is invited to call the applicants' agent at the telephone number given below. The applicants respectfully suggest that the claims presently in the application are distinct over the prior art and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the applicants solicit favorable action.

Respectfully submitted,

Kendra J. Gallup, et al.

Pamela Lau Kee

Pamela Lau Kee Patent Reg. No. 36,184

H Julius J 2005 Agilent Technologies

Intellectual Property Administration Legal Department, M/S DL-429

815 SW 14 th Street

Loveland CO 80537

(408) 553-3059